

To: "Keefe, Jennifer" [KeefeJM@cdmsmith.com]
Cc: Burt Shephard/R10/USEPA/US@EPA; Joe Goulet/R10/USEPA/US@EPA[]; oe Goulet/R10/USEPA/US@EPA[]
From: "MESL"
Sent: Wed 3/14/2012 5:05:18 PM
Subject: RE: Portland Harbor Specific Comments for Formal Responses
MESL Comments on Reference Envelope Approach for Portland Harbor Feb 2012 Ver2.pdf

Jenn:

Don has asked that we forward along a copy of the attached report which was sent to Burt at the end of February and he will have a look at the rest upon his return to the office.

Cheers
Debbie

MacDonald Environmental Sciences Ltd.
24-4800 Island Hwy North
Nanaimo, BC V9T 1W6
General Phone: 250-729-9625
Don MacDonald: 250-729-9623

From: Keefe, Jennifer [mailto:KeefeJM@cdmsmith.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 13, 2012 7:40 AM
To: MESL
Cc: Burt Shephard; Joe Goulet
Subject: Portland Harbor Specific Comments for Formal Responses

Good morning Don,

My understanding is that you were requested to review the original DRAFT BERA for Portland Harbor with a specific interest in the following topics: reference envelope, toxicity test hit definitions, and mean quotient calculations. Additionally, all members of the team were requested to think about data presentation/figures and localized risks. I have not identified specific comments from the compiled comment list specifically associated with your areas of interest.

However, I have compiled the attached spreadsheet that includes comments that I thought covered data presentation/figures and localized risks. This spreadsheet is simply a smaller version of the larger spreadsheet circulated during the meeting in Portland. I have done some additional work, so this one includes the most up-to-date "CDM review comments" from me.

The comments included in this spreadsheet are: the first # 5; 17, 30, 37, 75, 83, 92, 96, 97, 122, 123, 126, 131, 149, 177, 178, 199.

Here is my request so that we meet our deadline:

The comments noted above are those that I have categorized as being "partially addressed" or "not addressed." Please use my notes in the "CDM Review Comment" as guidance and provide me with a formalized response in the "New EPA Comment" and "New Comment Code" fields.

Items to note:

If you feel as though I have missed comments that you should comment on from the master list distributed for the meeting in Portland, please let me know and I can either send you a revised spreadsheet or you can add your additional formalized responses to an email and I will incorporate them into the final spreadsheet.

Please remember that I was not to review/make a judgment regarding the quality of the response and I could not evaluate compliance with subsequent agreements made that LWG references. Also, I did not often take LWG's response into consideration when reviewing.

The page numbers, sections, paragraph numbers are based on the clean version of the revised DRAFT FINAL BERA.

If you have any questions or concerns, please let me know.

Thank you in advance for your assistance,
Jenn

Jennifer Keefe, M.P.H.
Environmental Scientist
CDM Smith
50 Hampshire Street
Cambridge, MA 02139
Tel/Fax: 617.452.6314
keefejm@cdmsmith.com
cdmsmith.com